

COVID-19 in Sub-Saharan Africa: Monitoring Impacts on Learning Outcomes

BURKINA FASO REPORT



UNESCO

The constitution of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) was adopted by 20 countries at the London Conference in November 1945 and entered into effect on 4 November 1946. The Organization currently has 195 Member States and 11 Associate Members.

The main objective of UNESCO is to contribute to peace and security in the world by promoting collaboration among nations through education, science, culture and communication in order to foster universal respect for justice, the rule of law, and the human rights and fundamental freedoms that are affirmed for the peoples of the world, without distinction of race, sex, language or religion, by the Charter of the United Nations.

To fulfil its mandate, UNESCO performs five principal functions: 1) prospective studies on education, science, culture and communication for tomorrow's world; 2) the advancement, transfer and sharing of knowledge through research, training and teaching activities; 3) standard-setting actions for the preparation and adoption of internal instruments and statutory recommendations; 4) expertise through technical cooperation to Member States for their development policies and projects; and 5) the exchange of specialized information.

UNESCO Institute for Statistics

The UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) is the statistical office of UNESCO and is the UN depository for global statistics in the fields of education, science, technology and innovation, culture and communication. The UIS was established in 1999. It was created to improve UNESCO's statistical programme and to develop and deliver the timely, accurate and policy-relevant statistics needed in today's increasingly complex and rapidly changing social, political and economic environments.

Published in 2022 by:

UNESCO Institute for Statistics
C.P 250 Succursale H
Montréal, Québec H3G 2K8
Canada

Tel: +1 514-343-6880

Email: uis.publications@unesco.org

<http://www.uis.unesco.org>

ISBN 978-92-9189-279-2

© UNESCO-UIS 2022



This publication is available in Open Access under the Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO (CC-BY-SA 3.0 IGO) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/igo/>). By using the content of this publication, the users accept to be bound by the terms of use of the UNESCO Open Access Repository (<http://www.unesco.org/open-access/terms-use-ccbysa-en>). The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNESCO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The ideas and opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors; they are not necessarily those of UNESCO and do not commit the Organization.

Cover design by: Svenja Greenwood

Photo credit: [iStock.com/zeljkosantrac](https://www.iStock.com/zeljkosantrac)

Acknowledgments

The COVID-19 MILO (Monitoring Impacts on Learning Outcomes) project was a UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) project, funded by the Global Partnership for Education (GPE). The Australian Council for Educational Research (ACER) was the technical partner for this project and is the author of this report. Support was provided from the Global Education Monitoring (GEM) Centre, a long-term strategic partnership between ACER and the Australian Government's Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT).

The UIS and ACER are grateful for the technical and implementation support for the MILO project provided by CONFEMEN to the participating Francophone countries, including Burkina Faso.

The MILO project was a collaborative effort. The UIS and ACER thank the Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale, de l'Alphabétisation et de la Promotion des Langues Nationales, particularly Minister Stanislas Ouaru, and the National Centres for the implementation of the MILO project within their country. The Burkina Faso National Centre team members were Serge Kyelem (National Project Manager), Salifou Dierma, Alice Karaga/Tankoano, Valentin Kettyetta, Ali Ouedraogo, Angèle Ouedraogo, Taguesgo Ouedraogo, Abdoulaye Sankara and Bénéwindé Bonaventure Segueda.

The project depended on the cooperation of the schools, principals, teachers and students. ACER and the UIS are also grateful to the participants from the six MILO countries who contributed to the MILO standard setting exercise and to the members of the international community involved in SDG 4.1.1 whose participation came by the invitation of the UIS.

The UIS and ACER also acknowledge the contribution of the organisations that provided items to the UIS's Global Item Bank that were used in the AMPL (Assessments for Minimum Proficiency Levels). We also acknowledge the items provided to the UIS from the IEA (REDS/PIRLS) and the OECD (Global Crisis Module/PISA) for inclusion in the MILO contextual questionnaires. A list of contributors to the MILO project is provided in the MILO Main Report (see Appendix C).

Contents

Acknowledgments	2
Contents.....	3
List of figures	3
List of tables	3
List of abbreviations	4
Introduction	5
Study design	5
Report outline.....	6
Sampling outcomes	6
Learning outcomes.....	7
Contexts of learning during the COVID-19 pandemic.....	9
National contexts	9
School and classroom contexts.....	10
Operational circumstances during COVID-19	10
Limitations to remote instruction and strategies to overcome barriers.....	11
Support for teachers	11
Student health and wellbeing and returning to school.....	12
Student contexts	13
Conclusion	14
References	16

List of figures

Figure 1: Reading and mathematics proficiency shown against the nine scales created from the Student Questionnaire	14
--	----

List of tables

Table 1: Burkina Faso student and home background characteristics of historical 2019 assessment and AMPL 2021	7
Table 2: Proportions of students who met or exceeded MPLs for reading and mathematics, AMPL and historical assessments, by gender, and percentage point difference for Burkina Faso.....	8
Table 3: Proportion of students who reached or exceeded reading and mathematics MPL by region in Burkina Faso	9

List of abbreviations

ACER	Australian Council for Educational Research
AMPL	Assessments for Minimum Proficiency Levels
CONFEMEN	Conference of Ministers of Education of French-Speaking Countries
DFAT	Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade
GEM	Global Education Monitoring
GPE	Global Partnership for Education
MILO	Monitoring Impacts on Learning Outcomes
MPL	Minimum Proficiency Level
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PASEC	Programme for the Analysis of Educational Systems
PIRLS	Progress in International Reading Literacy Study
PISA	Programme for International Student Assessment
REDS	Responses to Educational Disruption Survey
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
UIS	UNESCO Institute for Statistics
UNESCO	The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

Introduction

Six African countries participated in the COVID-19: Monitoring Impacts on Learning Outcomes (MILO) project in 2021 – Burkina Faso, Burundi, Côte d’Ivoire, Kenya, Senegal and Zambia. This report presents the key findings from the MILO project for Burkina Faso. The cross-national findings from all six participating countries are provided in the MILO Main Report (UIS & ACER, 2022).

The MILO study was designed to provide information on the impact of the pandemic on learning outcomes. As countries work towards achieving Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4.1.1b,¹ it is essential that progress towards this goal continues to be monitored. The MILO project was implemented to provide a way for countries to measure learning progress against SDG 4.1.1b prior to, during and after the pandemic.

The four overarching goals of the MILO project were to:

- evaluate the impact of COVID-19 on reading and mathematics learning outcomes by reporting against SDG indicator 4.1.1b
- identify the impact of different distance learning mechanisms put in place to remediate the learning disruption caused by COVID-19
- expand the UIS bank of items for primary education assessments
- generate a toolkit to scale assessment results to international benchmarks, reporting against SDG 4.1.1b.

The MILO study is a UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) project and was funded by the Global Partnership for Education (GPE). The Australian Council for Educational Research (ACER) was the technical partner. Technical and implementation support was provided by The Conference of Ministers of Education of French-Speaking Countries (CONFEMEN), to the four francophone countries (Burkina Faso, Burundi, Côte d’Ivoire and Senegal). A National Centre was responsible for implementing the project within each country. In the case of Burkina Faso, the MILO project was implemented by the Ministry of Education.

Study design

The MILO project used Assessments for Minimum Proficiency Levels (AMPL-b) to estimate learning outcomes in reading and mathematics at the end of primary schooling. These learning outcomes were reported as the proportion of students in the target grade who met the minimum proficiency levels (MPLs) referred to in SDG 4.1.1b:

¹ The proportion of children and young learners ... at the end of primary ... achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading and (ii) mathematics, by sex. (United Nations, 2015)

The proportion of children and young learners ... at the end of primary ... achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading and (ii) mathematics, by sex. (United Nations, 2015)

In Burkina Faso, the AMPLs were administered in French to a representative sample of Grade 6 students in schools between 31 May to 11 June 2021. The results of these assessments were compared with historical assessment data collected from an equivalent student cohort prior to the COVID-19 outbreak. The historical assessment was the Programme for Analysis of Educational Systems (PASEC) 2019 (CONFEMEN, 2020). This comparison enabled the impact of the pandemic on learning outcomes to be quantified.

To assist in the interpretation of the assessment results, contextual data were collected through questionnaires:

- a Student Questionnaire – given to the same students who completed the AMPL tests)
- a School Questionnaire – completed by school principals or their delegates
- a System Questionnaire – completed by respondents at the national level.

The questionnaires focused on the main COVID-19 disruption period, as identified by each country on the basis of when there was the most disruption to education. Burkina Faso identified 14 March to 31 March 2020 as their main COVID-19 disruption period.

Report outline

In this report on the MILO results for Burkina Faso, sampling outcomes are first provided, including a comparison of key characteristics of the Burkina Faso populations participating in 2019 and 2021 assessments. Next, the learning outcomes in reading and mathematics are presented for Burkina Faso, for boys, girls and for all participants. This report provides the achievement outcomes by explicit strata, showing achievement results by sub-region. Subsequently, the contexts of learning during the COVID-19 pandemic are first presented, including at the national education system level, school level and student level. Finally, the report concludes with a discussion of the outcomes and recommendations for strengthening the resilience of the education system.

The MILO Main Report complements this Burkina Faso report. It provides more detail on the MILO project background and instruments and provides the cognitive and contextual results for all six countries that participated in the MILO project.

Sampling outcomes

The Burkina Faso school participation rate in the MILO study was extremely high. There were 289 schools that participated, with a 100 per cent response rate. Similarly, there

was a very high student response rate. There were 5,684 students who undertook the assessment, with an 84 per cent response rate.²

To ensure that achievement results between the AMPL 2021 and PASEC 2019 were comparable, it was important that the two populations had similar characteristics. Comparative data based on the following categorical variables for both populations can be seen in Table 1. These variables were family wealth, gender, age, maternal and paternal literacy and school type. The characteristics of the population were similar across the two assessments, with some differences. The most prominent was the proportions of students who attended public schools, with a 19 percentage point difference. The paternal and maternal literacy rates in the 2021 sample was nine and seven percentage points lower, respectively, compared to PASEC 2019.

Table 1: Burkina Faso student and home background characteristics of historical 2019 assessment and AMPL 2021

	AMPL 2021	PASEC 2019	Difference (AMPL 2021-PASEC 2019)
AMPL–National assessment wealth index (logits)	-0.79	-0.36	-0.42
Gender (% girls)	56%	53%	3%
Age (years)	13.1	13.5	-0.4
Maternal literacy	43%	50%	-7%
Paternal literacy	57%	66%	-9%
School type (% public)	87%	68%	19%

Learning outcomes

To measure the impact of the COVID-19 disruption on learning outcomes, the reading and mathematics achievement results in 2021 were compared to those from 2019. Achievement results in reading and mathematics are reported in terms of the percentages of students who reached or exceeded the MPLs for upper primary for girls and boys, as well as overall.

A standard-setting exercise was conducted to establish the MPLs for students at the end of primary schooling. This determined the score in the AMPL associated with the minimum level of skill or knowledge required to meet the MPL for SDG 4.1.1b. Appendix A of the MILO Main Report provides further details on how the MPL was established.

The percentages of students from Burkina Faso who met or exceeded the reading and mathematics MPLs in 2021 is shown in Table 2. The table also shows the percentages of students who met or exceeded the MPLs in 2019. For all students there was no

² The response rates are unweighted including substitutes.

statistically significant difference in the proportion of students who met the MPL for reading between 2019 and 2021.

In the case of mathematics, there was a statistically significant difference in the proportion of students overall, who met the MPLs between 2019 and 2021. In 2019, 17.9% of the population met the MPL. This increased by almost six percentage points to 23.7% in 2021. There was also a statistically significant improvement in mathematics learning outcomes for both boys and girls, separately. In 2021, the proportion of boys who met the MPL increased by seven percentage points from 18.8% in 2019 to 25.8% in 2021. The proportion of girls who met the MPL increased by five percentage points, from 17.1% in 2019 to 22.1% in 2021. It is also notable that in both 2021 and 2019, there is a greater proportion of students meeting the MPL for mathematics than reading.

Table 2: Proportions of students who met or exceeded MPLs for reading and mathematics, AMPL and historical assessments, by gender, and percentage point difference for Burkina Faso

Learning domain	2021 AMPL Students who reached or exceeded MPLs (%)			2019 PASEC Students who reached or exceeded MPLs (%)			Percentage point differences 2021 AMPL - 2019 PASEC		
	All	Boys	Girls	All	Boys	Girls	All	Boys	Girls
Reading MPL	9.0	9.3	8.8	5.8	5.6	5.9	3.2*	3.6*	2.8*
Mathematics MPL	23.7	25.8	22.1	17.9	18.8	17.1	5.8^	7.0^	5.0^

* Statistically significantly higher than in historical assessment

^ No statistically significant difference between AMPL and historical assessment

The learning outcomes were not homogeneous across the different regions of Burkina Faso. As can be seen in Table 3, the Centre region of Burkina Faso – where the capital city Ouagadougou is located – had the highest proportion of students who reached or exceeded the MPLs in both reading (23.9%) and mathematics (50.3%). This is consistent with literature showing that across many countries urban areas generally outperform rural areas on learning assessments (Echazarra & Radinger, 2019). Over two-thirds of the population in Burkina Faso live in non-urban areas; Ouagadougou is the only city that has a population over 1.5 million people (Central Intelligence Agency, 2021).

Table 3: Proportion of students who reached or exceeded reading and mathematics MPL by region in Burkina Faso

Region	Reading AMPL 2021 Students who reached or exceeded MPLs (%)	Mathematics AMPL 2021 Students who reached or exceeded MPLs (%)
Boucle Du Mouhoun	3.5	10.1
Cascades	5.1	13.6
Centre	23.9	50.3
Centre-Est	6.8	26.5
Centre-Nord	2.1	19.9
Centre-Ouest	6.9	21.3
Centre-Sud	3.1	14.9
Est	6.8	28.3
Hauts-Bassins	12.2	24.2
Nord	4.2	18.9
Plateau Central	13.2	24.2
Sahel	9.9	22.3
Sud-Ouest	7.6	16.4
Burkina Faso	9.0	23.7

Contexts of learning during the COVID-19 pandemic

National contexts

The MILO System Questionnaire was completed by a senior government official nominated by the National Centre who provided information about the education policies and programs implemented in Burkina Faso. This information was complemented by other sources from publicly available literature on the impact of COVID-19 on schooling in Burkina Faso. School closures and remote education are two policy areas of particular relevance to learning during the COVID-19 disruption.

All schools in Burkina Faso were closed for nine weeks from March 2020. After this time schools in some areas reopened, with all schooling resuming after 14 weeks (UNESCO, 2020). School closures affected more than 20,000 educational establishments, and disrupted the education of over 4.7 million learners.

Remote teaching was undertaken during school closures with learning materials provided via television, radio and internet for students in primary and secondary school (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, UNICEF & World Bank, 2020). Learners in examination classes, which included the target grade for the MILO project (Grade 6), were given

priority access to learning materials; access was later extended to other learners. Learning materials were translated into national languages to support accessibility for students from diverse linguistic backgrounds, including those in rural areas.

School and classroom contexts

Principals in Burkina Faso were asked to indicate how the pandemic affected schooling, teaching and learning. This section describes the proportion of students who attended schools where the principal reported issues related to operational circumstances during COVID-19, the limitations to providing remote instruction and strategies to overcome these limitations, student health and wellbeing, and returning to school. For example, when asked about the COVID-19 disruption, 31 per cent of students attended schools where the principal indicated the school continued to provide access for specific grade levels.

Operational circumstances during COVID-19

Despite school closures during the COVID-19 disruption period, specific groups of students in Burkina Faso still had access to school buildings. These groups were:

- students from selected grade levels (31%)
- students with special needs (17%).

The following groups of students had access to school buildings to a much less extent:

- students who were considered at risk (7%)
- children of essential workers (4%).

Among schools that closed, 44 per cent of students attended schools whose principal reported that some or all teachers were onsite. Teachers being onsite would be able to teach the minority of students who had access to school buildings, as well as facilitate remote learning, such as using school resources, like computers, phones and photocopiers. Amongst students attending schools that closed, a smaller minority of students (20%) attended schools where the principal reported offering remote learning programs to *all* students.

Over 90 per cent of students attended schools where the principal reported that they were not prepared for providing remote instruction if their school buildings were closed to students for an extended period in the future. This indicates that Burkina Faso has the opportunity to support schools to provide remote instruction in the case of future education disruptions.

Limitations to remote instruction and strategies to overcome barriers

Principals were asked to indicate the extent that their school's capacity to deliver remote instruction was limited by any one of ten options. The most common limitations indicated were:

- students' lack of internet access (84%)
- lack of digital devices (81%)
- difficulty in distributing hard-copies of learning materials (81%)
- concerns about providing equitable teaching (79%).

The least reported limitation was a lack of available teaching (41%). This indicates that the support many schools most need relates to accessing technology, rather than human capital.

Strategies were implemented to minimise the impact of the pandemic on teaching and learning. The most common strategies, rated by principals as important or very important, were:

- engaging the broader community (83%)
- communication between staff and students (79%)
- providing digital resources for teachers or students (72%)
- encouraging the use of educational television or radio (61%).

The least common strategies were:

- communication between staff and families (56%)
- additional staff professional development (54%).

Support for teachers

Support was provided or promoted to teachers to assist them in supporting students and themselves. The most common forms of support were:

- peer support systems (32%)
- formal support networks, such as counselling services (26%)
- accommodation for teachers who are primary carers and have children at home (21%).

The least common forms of support were:

- online wellbeing management programs and resources (11%)
- informal or social events, such as book club (10%).

In response to the pandemic, teachers in Burkina Faso were also provided with a range of professional learning activities. The most common activities were:

- methods for preventing the spread of infectious diseases, such as through hand washing (45%)
- methods to engage with families to support their child's wellbeing (18%)
- methods to engage with families to support their child's learning (17%).

The least common professional learning activities were:

- support for providing remote student instruction using digital technologies (11%)
- teaching specific content remotely (e.g., literacy, numeracy) (11%)
- teaching students with special needs (11%).

Student health and wellbeing and returning to school

Throughout the pandemic, many students attended a school that undertook activities to support student health and wellbeing. The most common activities were

- checking in with students (75%)
- contacting families (58%)
- providing specific support to students (43%).

Visits to students' homes were relatively uncommon; only 19 per cent of students attended schools where the principal reported this strategy was used.

In preparing for regular teaching after the COVID-19 disruption, schools in Burkina Faso made various provisions. Most frequently these involved:

- spending time going over material that was already covered (76%)
- monitoring students' health and safety (73%)
- providing extra academic support (61%)
- directing targeted teaching at learning areas where student achievement had not sufficiently progressed (61%).

The least common provision was connecting students to contact agencies that provide food and other essentials to assist families who need help (9%).

Principals were asked about their concerns after the COVID-19 disruption. They reported concern about all four options, which were:

- students' health and wellbeing (97%)
- students' academic progress (96%)

- the ability of staff to cope (93%)
- the principal's own ability to cope (93%).

Student contexts

A student's context, including their home environment and the level of support that they are provided, can shape their achievement levels (Çiftçi & Cin, 2017; Cullinane & Montacute, 2020). The resources that students have access to at home can greatly mediate the effects of disruptions to learning resulting from COVID-19 (Cullinane & Montacute, 2020; Reimers & Schleicher, 2020). Hence, the effect size of various factors related to student characteristics, home environment and support are analysed and compared.

An effect size is a measure of the strength of the relationship between two variables using a standardised difference. The stronger the effect size, the stronger the relationship between the variables of interest (e.g. family wealth) and the outcome variable (e.g. mathematics proficiency). Nine indices were created based on a collection of related items from the Student Questionnaire. These indices are student anxiety, student disability, family wealth, parental literacy, family support, teacher support, school support and assessment language (whether assessment language was the main language spoken at home). The MILO Main Report (UIS & ACER, 2022) provides further details about the effect sizes and specific scales constructed.

As can be seen in Figure 1, family wealth had the strongest relationship with student proficiency in both reading and mathematics; students from wealthy families exhibited higher levels of proficiency than students from less wealthy families. There was a similar finding with parental literacy; students whose parents had higher levels of literacy showed higher levels of proficiency.

Students who spoke the language of assessment at home (French), had higher proficiency in reading and mathematics compared to students who spoke another language at home. This effect size was larger for reading than mathematics, likely due to the higher language demands required for reading compared to mathematics.

Parental education and family support are in the top five of largest effects in reading and mathematics, with greater parental education and family support having a positive relationship with their child's proficiency.

Students with higher levels of anxiety had a higher level of proficiency in reading and mathematics. The scale that showed the next biggest effect size was the school support scale. More school support reported by students correlated with greater proficiency in reading and mathematics. Conversely, students who reported teacher support appeared to have a minimal relationship with proficiency. Finally, students with disability showed lower proficiency in reading and mathematics compared to those with no disability. This comparison of effect sizes highlights that, in Burkina Faso, the factors

that have the strongest relationship with student proficiency in reading and mathematics relate to the home environment, and less so to the school environment or personal characteristics of students. This is consistent with meta-analytical research indicating that the home environment has the largest impact on student achievement (Hattie, 2008).




Figure 1: Reading and mathematics proficiency shown against the nine indices created from the Student Questionnaire

Conclusion

It is encouraging that Burkina Faso students and schools demonstrated resilience in the face of the COVID-19 education disruption. Learning outcomes for reading between 2019 and 2021 remained steady. Learning outcomes in mathematics increased slightly between 2019 and 2021.

One possible explanation for these results is that the nine weeks of nationwide school closures in Burkina Faso were offset by the approximately 29 weeks that students had been at school prior to the administration of the AMPL. Further, students in examination classes, including Grade 6 students (the target grade in the MILO project), were given priority access, both during nationwide and partial school closures. Upon returning to school, principals reported that teachers commonly went over learning material that had already been covered. The material covered by teachers may have focused more on reading and mathematics compared to other academic and non-academic areas. Hence, while declines in these core areas are less likely, declines in other areas not assessed in the MILO study (such as science or social and emotional skills) might have occurred.

The MILO contextual findings provide insights into how learning progress in Burkina Faso can continue to improve. The three recommendations presented below are elaborated on in the MILO Main Report:

- **Prepare for the provision of effective remote teaching and learning for future disruptions.** It was widely reported by principals in Burkina Faso that they are not prepared for future disruptions to education. Remote teaching needs to reflect the low technology environment of many families in Burkina Faso, building on the strengths indicated by principals related to communication with families and teachers. However, planning needs to incorporate how barriers to remote education can be overcome through broadening access to and use of technology.
- **Continue to emphasise supporting the wellbeing of the school community.** Principals in Burkina Faso were concerned about their own wellbeing, the wellbeing of teachers, and above all, student wellbeing. Although activities were taken to support wellbeing, such as checking-in with students, these could be supplemented with more targeted support. For example, a limited proportion of students attended schools that provided access to students with special needs during the COVID-19 disruption. All students can benefit from the targeting and tailoring of support to their needs.
- **Ensure that there are effective systems in place to continue to monitor learning outcomes.** The targeting of support aimed at both wellbeing and student learning can be greatly assisted through effective monitoring of student outcomes. For example, in addition to collecting data related to mathematics and reading, other domains could be monitored, such as social and emotional learning. At the classroom-level and school-level, assessments can provide helpful feedback to students, parents and teachers, informing them of progress, what to work on and how to reform practices. System-level information can be collected through participation in national, regional or international assessments. The MILO project has provided tools, methods and capacity development to support Burkina Faso's monitoring system. This includes using the AMPL to monitor Burkina Faso's progress towards achieving SDG 4.1.1b.

References

- Central Intelligence Agency. (2021). *Burkina Faso. The World Factbook*.
<https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/countries/burkina-faso/>
- Çiftçi, Ş. K., & Cin, F. M. (2017). The effect of socioeconomic status on students' achievement. In E. Karadag (Ed.), *The factors effecting student achievement* (pp. 171–181). Springer.
- Conférence des ministres de l'Éducation des États et gouvernements de la Francophonie. (2020). *PASEC 2019 Qualité des systèmes éducatifs en Afrique Subsaharienne Francophone : Performances et environnement de l'enseigne de l'enseignement-apprentissage au primaire*. Programme d'Analyse des Systèmes Educatifs de la CONFEMEN.
http://www.pasec.confemen.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/RapportPasec2019_sitePasec.pdf
- Cullinane, C., & Montacute, R. (2020). *COVID-19 and social mobility impact brief #1: School shutdown*. The Sutton Trust. <https://www.suttontrust.com/our-research/covid-19-and-social-mobility-impact-brief/>
- Echazarra, A., & Radinger, T. (2019). *Learning in rural schools*. 196.
<https://doi.org/10.1787/8b1a5cb9-en>
- Hattie, J. (2008). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 Meta-Analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge Press.
- Reimers, F. M., & Schleicher, A. (2020). *Schooling disrupted, schooling rethought: How the Covid-19 pandemic is changing education*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://read.oecd-ilibrary.org/view/?ref=133_133390-1rtuknc0hi&title=Schooling-disrupted-schooling-rethought-How-the-Covid-19-pandemic-is-changing-education
- UNESCO Institute for Statistics & Australian Council for Educational Research. (2022). *COVID-19 in Sub-Saharan Africa: Monitoring Impacts on Learning Outcomes. Main report*.
http://milo.uis.unesco.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/17/2022/01/MILO-Main-Report-SSA-Jan-2022_EN.pdf
- UNESCO Institute for Statistics, UNICEF & World Bank. (2020). *Survey on National Education Responses to COVID-19 School Closures, round 2*. <https://infogram.com/da3bcab3-ff85-4f6a-8d9a-e6040c7fd83d>
- UNESCO. (2020). *Education: From disruption to recovery*.
<https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse>
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. UN Publishing. <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal4>